



TRUCKEE TAHOE AIRPORT DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTOR STAFF REPORT

AGENDA TITLE: Alternate Runway Alignment Review and Discussion

MEETING DATE: February 26, 2020

PREPARED BY: Kevin Smith, General Manager. Exhibits prepared by David Diamond

RECOMMENDED ACTION: Review request from Director Hetherington and David Diamond to consider and discuss merits of the attached alternate Runway alignment. Provide direction to Staff regarding Board of Directors desire to consider the proposed concept further.

DISCUSSION: Director Hetherington and David Diamond have requested Board consideration of a proposed runway alignment. Mr. Diamond has prepared the attached *Alternate Runway Consideration Report* for Board and public review and consideration. In addition, attached to the report is a *New Runway Alignment Map* prepared by Mead and Hunt depicting the proposed runway in Mr. Diamond's Report. This proposal would add a third runway (Runway 16/34) which would be 6310 feet long by 100 feet wide. The purpose of the proposal is to consider the possibility that this new runway could reduce noise and annoyance for affected neighborhoods around the Airport. Staff recommends the Board and public review the attached Report for further insight and explanation regarding the request.

This proposed alignment was originally reviewed as part of the 2015 Master Plan study and review process. The Master Plan Ad Hoc Committee made up of Director Hetherington and Morrison with support from Airport Staff and Mead & Hunt as Master Plan consultant reviewed this alignment beginning in 2013. The alignment was reviewed with the full Board of Directors in Master Plan meetings and workshops prior to Master Plan adoption. The proposed runway was ultimately dismissed from consideration primarily due to its high construction cost (\$18,000,000 - \$25,000,000). As it has been 6+ years since this proposal has been fully vetted, Staff is not opposed to relooking at the concept. A new review of the proposal would first include discussions with FAA officials at the San Francisco Airport District Office (SF ADO) to see if

requirements, funding eligibility, or other deciding factors have changed. If the proposal can meet FAA criteria, the second phase would be how to fund the proposal and public outreach. Staff seeks further guidance from the Board of Directors as to its interest in pursuing the proposal.

FISCAL IMPACT: There is little fiscal impact to reviewing this request. There likely would be \$5,000 to \$7,000 in engineering fees to prepare a summary and exhibits for any meeting with FAA as well as some travel costs to visit the SF ADO. This funding is available in our general planning and engineering budget.

SAMPLE MOTION(S): No formal motion is necessary.

ATTACHMENTS:

Alternate Runway Consideration Report – David Diamond. *Note: Mr. Diamond is a current member of the Airport Community Advisory Team (ACAT). This report and consideration of the request is independent of his work on ACAT.*

New Runway Proposal Map