Regarding SAF jet fuel .....

While I heartily applaud your support of a nascent industry please beware of, refrain from, and avoid, greenwashing.

What you are selling as "sustainable" is only 30% sustainable (supposedly) content. 70% is the same old fossil stuff that we are burning up a million times faster than it is created. Doing that can only be regarded as temporary.

If that "sustainable" content is palm oil grown on the destroyed remains of tropical rainforest it is not at all sustainable. That puts you down to 0%.

And while the supposedly-sustainable content is a fraction of 1% of all jet fuel, you are a lonesome voice crying in the wilderness.

If that "sustainable" content is derived from American agricultural waste, as some jet fuel is, then you may be supporting something genuinely sustainable but that is destined to be a tiny fraction of aviation energy. See "cleantechnica", "United Airlines Uses Jet Fuel Made From Plants In Passenger Flight".

<https://cleantechnica.com/2021/12/28/united-airlines-uses-jet-fuel-made-from-plants-in-passenger-flight/>

I would recommend, as a more reliably sustainable venture, pointing the way to the future, that you install solar farm (photovoltaics) on otherwise unused airport land, and roofs. As Humboldt County is doing. See "Solar Power World", "Humboldt State University starts construction on solar microgrid at Redwood Coast Airport".

<https://www.solarpowerworldonline.com/2021/07/redwood-coast-airport-humboldt-stateuniversity-solar-microgrid/>

And while you are at it you might consider hosting electric aircraft R&D. They probably could use a high-altitude, highly visible, test site.

Zeroavia, for instance (they run on sunshine). "Flying" magazine, "ZeroAvia Completes \$116 Million Series C to Support Hydrogen-Electric Engine Tech".

<https://www.flyingmag.com/zeroavia-completes-116-million-series-c-to-support-hydrogenelectric-engine-tech/>

And, for instance, with near-term effect (hybrid system), Ampaire. <a href="https://www.ampaire.com/>">https://www.ampaire.com/></a>

Kind Regards, Mike Mayo Hello,

In the budget meeting in October Director Diamond was excluded from the meeting when the topic was hangars. What's with this? There was no decision-making about hangars. I would understand, and agree, if he were required to recuse from voting on a motion to raise hangar rent. But to be excluded from an informational session? Really? Perhaps you are feeling compelled by the Brown Act? But the spirit of the Brown Act is to prevent corruption by exposing it to public view. Not to exclude people who have a little exposure to the topic at hand.

Kind Regards, Mike Mayo

From:	Mike Mayo
To:	<u>Comments</u>
Subject:	Trying to make Truckee another Aspen?
Date:	Tuesday, November 28, 2023 11:06:52 AM

I was, frankly, shocked to hear a District Board Director opine that Aspen and Telluride should be the standard for comparing Truckee rents and valuations. With apparent assent by the rest of the Directors.

A quick look at zillow reveals that Aspen real estate prices are at least ten times those in Truckee (in one case a 1-acre lot sold for \$76m). And Telluride about five times. To make Truckee like Aspen would be an absolute disaster for the residents of your District ("This Community").

Kind Regards, Mike Mayo