- 1 The following is a condensed version of the TRUCKEE TAHOE AIRPORT DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS regular
- 2 meeting held Thursday, August 22, 2013 at the Truckee Tahoe Airport District Community Room, 10356 Truckee
- 3 Airport Road, Truckee, California at 9:00 am.
- 4 **CALL MEETING TO ORDER:** 9:00 a.m.

5 **DIRECTORS PRESENT:** President Mary Hetherington

Vice President John B. Jones Jr.
Director J. Thomas Van Berkem
Director James W. Morrison

8 9

6

7

DIRECTORS ABSENT: Director Lisa Wallace

10 11 12

14

15

16 17

18

19

STAFF PRESENT: Mr. Kevin Smith, General Manager

13 Mr. Kevin Bumen, Director of Aviation and Business Services

Mr. Phred Stoner, Director of Operations

Ms. Jane Dykstra, Director of Finance and Administration Mr. Hardy Bullock, Environment and Technology Specialist

Mr. Brent Collinson, District Legal Counsel

Ms. Maria Martinez, District Clerk

Ms. Denae Granger, Administrative Clerk

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

29

30

31

3233

34

36

VISITORS PRESENT: 26

SPECIAL ORDERS OF BUSINESS: Mr. Smith stated that there is a new art display in the building, with some aviation related artwork. Also, the son of Mr. Ketron, a District employee, has just earned the rank of Eagle Scout.

PUBLIC COMMENT: Mr. Charlie White reminded the Staff and Board to use their microphones for the home viewers. Mr. Andrew Terry talked about the American Fire, and how a mobile retardant plan was installed in the

28 future outbreak for the Truckee Airport to have a mobile retardant plan in place. Mr. Denny Dickinson lives in the

neighborhood just 3000' from the end of the runway. He spoke for only himself, not his neighbors, in saying that

Blue Canyon Airport, with helicopters using the fire retardant. He stated that there is an opportunity if there is a

the Board should take a direction with the Master Plan to purchase homes in Martis Valley. He brought a picture

of Truckee from 1963. The old Truckee dump, from 1945-1962, was one of the mistakes made by the Truckee

community. He is suggesting that the Board consider purchasing the homes in the Martis Valley Estates, and

remove those homes for safety reasons. He would like to sell his house to the Airport District. Mr. Jack Ellis, one

of the three pilot members on ACAT stated that he is not planning to stand for reappointment in favor of other

35 qualified pilot candidates.

CONSENT CALENDAR

- 37 President Hetherington requested that the General Manager Employment Contract Approval be pulled from the
- 38 Consent Calendar. Vice President Jones requested that the Internal Control Review Item be added to the Consent
- 39 Calendar.
- 40 **PUBLIC COMMENT:** None
- Minutes: July 25, 2013 Regular Meeting
- Monthly Service Bills and Fees
- Financial Report

- Employee Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA)
- Internal Control Review

MOTION #1 AUG-22-13: Director Van Berkem moved to approve the items on the Consent Calendar with the exception of the General Manager Employment Contract Approval, and with the addition of the Internal Control Review. Director Morrison seconded the motion. President Hetherington, Vice President Jones, and Directors Morrison, and Van Berkem voted in favor of the motion. The motion passed.

- General Manager Employment Contract Approval
- President Hetherington stated that she will be distributing the performance review form, with the idea that she needs it back by October 7 for review on October 17. The contract is a three year contract.
- **PUBLIC COMMENT:** None
- 55 MOTION #2 AUG-22-13: Director Van Berkem moved to approve the General Manager Employment Contract
- Renewal. Vice President Jones seconded the motion. President Hetherington, Vice President Jones and Directors
- 57 Morrison and Van Berkem voted in favor of the motion. The motion passed.

58 GODBE RESEARCH PRESENTATION ON COMMUNITY SURVEY

Mr. Bryan Godbe, from Godbe Research, gave the presentation on the completed survey. He stated that the purpose of the project was to conduct a survey of both residents and pilots to help validate and refine the strategic vision of the Airport and the District. The data collection was done by phone interviewing, with both cell phones, if provided, and landlines, from the list of registered voters. There was also random dial interviewing, with the known area code and exchanges, and randomly creating the last four digits. The universe was approximately 30,000 adult residents within the Truckee Tahoe Airport District, with phone calls made from May 30 through June 25, 2013. The interview length was approximately 21 minutes, with approximately 500 residents and 65 pilots that responded. Director Van Berkem questioned if the survey included second homeowners. Mr. Godbe stated that second homeowners were not contacted because the available contact number for second homeowners was a landline, instead of a cell phone number, which would be ideal. The hardest group to reach

are the younger generations. There was a $\pm 4.4\%$ margin of error for the resident sample.

- Throughout the presentation, data from the 2005 and 2009 Godbe Surveys was incorporated. The cost of living and unemployment were the two highest perceived community issues in the 2013 survey. The top two reasons for living in this area were the natural beauty and the good quality of life. Director Van Berkem questioned if there was any cross correlation between people who identify annoyance issues with the Airport and those that are not aware that there is an Airport. Mr. Godbe said that he will look into it. President Hetherington questioned if it was a good thing that the people are being told about the Airport or if it makes them more aware of the annoyance associated with it. Mr. Godbe will research that. The favorability of the Airport has gone up significantly from 2009. He believes that the communication efforts, as well as the policies, have reduced the non-favorability of the people, as well as the number of people that did not know about the Airport in previous years. Mr. Bumen questioned how the use of the Airport is defined. Mr. Godbe answered that it was non-aviation uses among residents. There were 37 pilots that responded from the resident list. The other 28 pilots were from the pilot list, making a total of 65 pilots.
- The Search and Rescue services, as well as the early forest fire warning were the top two choices for residents for all three survey years in terms of the importance of services and capabilities. The Board questioned why the last

two choices for services and capabilities, resident pilot use for transportation and business, were zero in 2013. Mr. Godbe answered that these two choices were removed from the survey. Director Van Berkem stated that even though they were removed from the survey in 2013, in the previous two year surveys, there was not a lot of support for these items. President Hetherington would like it documented why the last two choices had no responses, as well as the number of pilots that were interviewed in the 2005 and 2009 survey. For the pilots, the most important services and capabilities are the transportation for patients in need of urgent healthcare and resident pilot use for recreation. The majority of residents and pilots agree that the Airport is an important component of the area's economy. President Hetherington would like all of the information represented in tablature form. The residents agree that the vision of the Airport should be to have the growth be consistent with the community, as well as to impose a voluntary curfew from 10PM to 7AM. The top two responses from pilots for the vision of the Airport were also that the growth be managed and consistent with the community and that the Airport should have no limitations and operations should be allowed to grow to accommodate all flights into or out of the area. President Hetherington questioned how the residential pilots were counted. Mr. Godbe stated that they were not counted twice, but tabulated twice. He said that the area's economy is a driving factor for the positive opinions for both pilots and residents.

In terms of the opinion of the Airport operations and policies, both residents and pilots agree that the Airport is doing the right amount to minimize noise and low-flying aircraft. Approximately 8% of the residents surveyed answered the question of how to reduce noise and low-flying aircraft. These residents agreed that it would be best to regulate flight patterns, as well as to implement or regulate curfew. Residents are very satisfied with the community events at the Airport. The majority of the residents agree that jet planes are the most responsible for the dissatisfaction of the Airport's efforts to minimize the amount of noise. The morning hours are the most common time of day when residents are most dissatisfied. For the pilots, they are very satisfied with the maintenance of the runways, as well as the information on events and operations. Mr. Godbe stated that when there is not a middle option in choices of satisfied or dissatisfied, it forces the public to have an opinion. The most important improvements the Airport could make for the pilots would be more hangars, as well as better fueling capabilities and deicing facilities.

In the communications part of the survey, more than three quarters of the surveyed residents were not aware of the purchase of the Tahoe City Golf Course. However, approximately three quarters of the surveyed residents are in support of a multi-use hangar, which is an overwhelming level of support. Mr. Godbe recommends moving forward with this hangar. As far as the sources of information on the Airport, the Sierra Sun newspaper was the highest, followed by television. Mr. Smith stated that local radio station ads started running approximately two years ago. For pilots, the Sierra Sun and the Truckee Airport website are the top two choices for communication. Director Morrison questioned how many pilots were in attendance in this Board meeting. There were four pilots.

President Hetherington questioned if there were any differences in terms of regulations and night operations between pilots and residents. Mr. Godbe stated that the differences were more divergent in past years than this year.

PUBLIC COMMENT: Mr. Terry stated that he received complaints on how long the survey was, especially from pilots. Mr. Dickinson cautioned how the Board views the survey. If someone lives closer to the Airport, they would have a more negative response than someone who lives further away.

President Hetherington questioned if there was a map of where the phone calls were made. Mr. Godbe answered that they do not have the GPS capability to do that. There were certain areas where representation was needed. Vice President Jones asked Mr. Godbe to define close proximity. Mr. Godbe answered that in 2005, the

- immediate vicinity of the Airport, were 11 areas, Ponderosa, Sierra Meadows, Northstar, Lahontan, Martis Valley,
- downtown Truckee, Donner Lake, Tahoe Donner, Prosser, Olympic Heights, and Glenshire. Director Van Berkem
- 128 questioned what percentage of people that answered the phone dropped off because of the length of the survey.
- 129 Mr. Godbe stated he would have to look that up, but he agrees that shortening the survey would be best. There
- could be a split sample, but it would be a lot smaller sample size with a higher error rate. Vice President Jones
- 131 questioned if there could be an analysis of questions and determine which questions are no longer relevant, as
- well as what the optimum time would be for a survey. Mr. Godbe answered that 15 minutes would be ideal, but
- 133 18 minutes is good. Vice President Jones and Director Wallace will form an Ad Hoc committee and meet to
- reduce the survey time and the questions. Director Van Berkem questioned what happens when someone hangs
- up half way through the survey. Mr. Godbe answered that the person's survey is thrown out.
- 136 Mr. Leeds Davis, an ACAT member and a non-pilot, commented regarding the 20% of respondents with no opinion
- of the Airport; he is not sure what percentage of the population is included within the immediate vicinity. He
- suggests that the outlying areas would be more than 20%, and it is important to find out what portion of the
- population is concerned.
- 140 **BREAK:** At 10:39 a.m. the Board recessed for a short break. At 10:53 a.m. President Hetherington reconvened
- 141 the meeting.

142

158

AIR FAIR COMMITTEE REPORT

- 143 Mr. Tim LoDolce, Chairman of the AirFair, stated that the AirFair committee spent under \$105,000 of the
- \$115,000 that they were allotted. The lineup for next year is already prepared. Mr. Dave Pinsky, a retired Air
- 145 Force Colonel, is now the air operations director. Ms. Patty Wagstaff will be a part of the air show in 2014, as well
- as Mr. John Melby, Mr. Dan Buchanan, and the Jelly Belly aircraft. There is an air boss lined up for next year as
- well, Mr. Willy Turner. Mr. LoDolce stated that in the September Board meeting, the AirFair committee will
- present a budget to the Board. The budget could be approximately \$125,000-135,000. There will also be a
- twilight airshow the night before, July 11. Director Morrison questioned the cost benefit to charities. Mr. LoDolce
- stated that there was approximately \$33,000 raised for three non-profits, each receiving approximately \$11,000.
- Ms. Lisa Krueger will come to the Board in September with the impact the airshow had on the community. Mr.
- 152 Smith stated that the airshow has a ripple effect. The majority of the \$105,000 the AirFair committee spent went
- to local businesses. Director Van Berkem questioned if there is anything to do this early to get military
- involvement. Mr. LoDolce stated that if the sequestration is over in 2014, there will be a lot of military support.

155 **PUBLIC COMMENT**

- Mr. Dickinson is on the Board of the Friends of the Library, and very active with the historical Society, and he
- thanked the Board for a great event.

AIRPORT COMMUNITY ADVISORY TEAM

- 159 Mr. Bullock started the presentation with the summary of ACAT's August meeting, stating that there has been one
- operator (Flight Options) that has signed the agreement of the RNAV departure, and another that should be
- signed very soon (Jet Suite). Mr. Bumen stated that the third special operator for the RNAV departure has been
- identified, FlexJet, and the initial coordination for the meeting has started. The Draft Chart has been completed,
- and is a draft only done for construction of the deeper inspection by the Regional Airspace Procedures Team
- 164 (RAPT). Mr. Tom Lippert, and his wife, Ms. Laurel Lippert, have been working on the videography of the noise
- abatement departures and arrivals. For the enhanced UNICOM, there was a Request for Proposal sent out to

166 th	ree vendors: Q	uadrex, Serc	o and Next	: Gen Aviatio	n Consulting Par	rtners. ACAT	selected Next	Gen Aviation
--------	----------------	--------------	------------	---------------	------------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

167 Consulting Partners, with Pete Elmore, to provide the needs assessment for UNICOM in an amount not to exceed

\$20,000. There have been five inquiries regarding the ACAT selection, all non-pilots. There have been no

applications.

170

171

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

182

185

186

190

192

193

196

198

199

203

204

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

ENGINEER'S REPORT

172 Mr. Bill Quesnel, the District Engineer, gave a summary of the Facilities Maintenance Plan, and the pavement

project. He stated that there will be an inspection on the three Part 77 towers by Day Wireless Systems within the

next two weeks. As far as the coating of the hangars, Hangar row B will be done in this fiscal year as the money is

already budgeted. The roof work will not start until FY2014. There has been added funding for the ADA and

Warehouse code studies. Mr. Quesnel stated that the fuel farm and the self-serve island are two different

projects. There were efforts made to analyze and correct the issues at the fuel farm in 2003-2004 with a sign-off

letter from an electrical contractor, which Mr. Quesnel does not believe happened. For the self-serve island, the

plans reference code compliance and submittal package for installed equipment, and not all installed equipment

is shown on the plans. He stated that some of the contact equipment, such as the tank monitoring, credit card

reader, air compressors, etc., can be retrofitted, and some of it will have to be moved. Mr. Quesnel is looking into

who is responsible for the electrical issues with the fuel farm, as well as what the contract documents and plans

say. He believes that the goal of completion of high priority corrections is December 1, 2013.

184 Director Van Berkem questioned if the issues of the items not to code are either safety issues or solely code

issues. Mr. Quesnel stated that not everything is a safety issue. Before December 1, the areas with the highest

safety issue will be fixed. District Counsel Collinson added that the government code provides that there is no

187 liability to a government agency for making a negligent inspection, so there is no liability there, but the specialist

hired to design and build the self-serve island the right way should be examined. Both the fuel farm and the self-

serve island are in Nevada County. Ms. Dykstra stated that in terms of the plan revisions, she needs the timeline

of when the changes will be made so she can prepare for the Budget Workshop on September 16. Mr. Smith

191 stated that the pavement work was delayed for two weeks for the FAA grant agreement, but the FAA gave a

notice to proceed, so the work will start this week. The risk of not having the grant agreement is that the District

cannot get reimbursed for the work done before the grant agreement is received, having the Notice to Proceed

194 from the FAA mitigates that issue.

195 **PUBLIC COMMENT:** None

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR LEGAL SERVICES – RFP REVIEW AND AD HOC COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT

197 Mr. Smith stated that the District is sticking with the current practice of periodically bidding for services such as

the fuel vendor, auditor, District Engineer, etc. There is an RFP for legal services that needs approval by the

Board. President Hetherington stated that there should be an Ad Hoc committee for the RFP. The Ad Hoc

200 committee will consist of Directors Morrison and Wallace.

201 **PUBLIC COMMENT:** None

202 MOTION #3 AUG-22-2013: Vice President Jones made a motion that Directors Morrison and Wallace coordinate

an Ad Hoc committee to review the RFPs for Airport legal counsel and make a recommendation to the Board.

President Hetherington seconded the motion. President Hetherington, Vice President Jones, and Directors Van

Berkem and Morrison voted in favor of the motion. The motion passed.

206 FALL NEWSLETTER

- 207 Mr. Smith stated that Staff is starting to think about the fall newsletter. He stated that there are some interesting
- things in the Godbe study that will help Staff craft some articles and areas that need to be targeted. Mr. Bumen
- stated that there have been ideas about harnessing a benefit for the newsletter reader and the Airport, such as a
- 210 coupon from Red Truck.

211 PUBLIC COMMENT

- Mr. Terry stated that in the survey, the newsletter, Connected, had very high results, but another part of the
- survey was solely newsletters, which had no results. He stated that should be added into the outreach.

214 WEBSITE UPDATE AND PROJECT REVIEW

- 215 Mr. Bullock stated that there is a milestone committee for the new website, and most of the work done for the
- 216 website redesign has been through Google Analytics. There is a website mock-up showing the primary, secondary
- and tertiary navigation. Everything that is on the website will be available on a mobile platform. There will also
- be a widget toolbar on the top of the website. The pages will be color coded so the public knows where in the
- 219 website they are. Mr. Bumen stated that this website will be a really good product. The life cycle of the site, from
- efficiency and cost of ownership, will help keep the website current, and yield benefits down the road. Mr.
- Bullock stated that the graphic assets the Airport uses may need to be modified for this process. Also, the
- 222 milestone committee is trying to build in some business processes into the website, such as automated payments.

223 **PUBLIC COMMENT**

- Mr. Terry stated that for the mobile part of the website, it would be helpful to know the size of a document
- before having to open it.

CLOSED SESSION

226

227

228

231

232

233234

235

236

237238

- At 12:02 p.m., the Board entered closed session pursuant to government code section:
- CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL EXISTING LITIGATION (SUBDIVISION (C) OF GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.9)
 - Name of case: Truckee Tahoe Airport District v. Gaylia Newcomb, et al., Nevada County Superior Court Case #TCU13-5538
 - CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
 Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (b) of Government Code Section 54956.9.
 One potential case, based upon the receipt of a claim pursuant to the Tort Claims Act.

PUBLIC COMMENT

- 239 Mr. John Renwick, with members of the Joerger family, previously met with Director Van Berkem, Mr. Smith and
- District Counsel Collinson about the closed session matter. He would like the Board to take into consideration
- 241 that the family is not a Board, just an old family. He brought a picture of a plane that landed at the Airport after
- the initial runway was cut by Mr. Renwick's great grandfather. He has submitted a letter outlining the conditions
- that the Joerger family feels would be fair to have the matter quickly resolved.
- 245 At 12:49 p.m., the Board recessed out of closed session and reported the following action:

246

244

- CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL EXISTING LITIGATION (SUBDIVSION (C) OF GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.9)
 - Name of case: Truckee Tahoe Airport District v. Gaylia Newcomb, et al., Nevada County Superior Court Case #TCU13-5538
- The Board reported that direction was given to Staff.

251252253

254

255

247

248

249

250

- CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
 Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to subdivision (b) of Government Code Section 54956.9.
 One potential case, based upon the receipt of a claim pursuant to the Tort Claims Act.
- The Board voted unanimously by the Directors in attendance to deny the claim.

256257258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

MASTER PLAN UPDATE – LAND USE AND RUNWAY DEVELOPMENT ALTERNATIVES PROGRESS REVIEW

Mr. Tony Tezla, with Mead & Hunt, stated that the goal is to assess four alternatives and to refine those to two alternatives. Two of the alternatives are affecting Runway 11-29, and the other two alternatives affect Runway 02-20. The two alternatives for Runway 11-29 include the shifting of the runway and extending the runway. Mr. Tezla stated that Runway 29 cannot be moved any further east due to trees, terrain and mountains. If the trees are removed, there could be approximately 400-500 additional feet for the relocation of the threshold. Director Van Berkem questioned if the runway were extended to the east, would the planes land approximately 1300' sooner? Mr. Tezla answered that the planes can takeoff approximately 1300' sooner, but cannot land any sooner. Director Morrison added that the Ad Hoc committee has looked at all the options, and would like to model what the impact is for the 1300' takeoff, to see what the difference in noise would be, and to make sure it would be advisable to spend the money for this alternative. The two alternatives for Runway 02-20 include extending the runway south, and widening the runway. To extend the runway south, the drainage connection would need to be rerouted to keep the connection. There are also wetlands on either side of the runway. Vice President Jones commented that this would affect landings on Runway 20 because there is a different class of airplanes at 5000'. So it would increase the landings on Runway 20, while affecting the departures on Runway 02.

Mr. Tezla stated that the four runway alternatives are an attempt to mitigate annoyance impacts. In order to fully evaluate all the alternatives, he would like to add at least one non-runway alternative to balance that out. Most likely, the FAA would have property acquisition, and sound insulation, as their default alternatives. The inclusion of a non-runway alternative may add credibility to all other alternatives as well. Director Van Berkem stated that an additional non-runway alternative would be valuable to the FAA to get traction or influence. He also stated that it would cost more to buy a neighborhood than extend a runway. Director Morrison stated that it would be a bad idea not to investigate because it is the FAA's way of looking at another way to reduce annoyance. Mr. Bumen stated that from the airspace side, the creation of procedures does not dictate use. The numbers are quantifiable on both the cost and the benefit sides. In a runway based alternative, there is the opportunity to affect every flight operation from that runway. In a neighborhood based alternative, only one household will be affected at a time. Director Van Berkem stated that a longer runway does not mean that the pilots will take advantage of it, however, the more formalized it is done, and the more publicity, pilots may utilize the entire runway. Mr. Bumen responded that any aviator would use the entire runway given the opportunity. Vice President Jones stated that the way to address noise mitigation is to take the parcels affected out of the community, or put them in the hands of the residents who do not mind airplane noise. He would like to see the Board consider a policy of making bids on homes in certain areas that are very noise sensitive and acquiring those for the Airport and putting them in a rental pool that would generate revenue for the District and have members of the community who enjoy planes in those homes to address noise mitigation. Director Van Berkem questioned if the FAA would give the Airport money to buy and rent homes. Mr. Bumen stated that he is not aware of

- airports doing property acquisition and renting. Mr. Tezla is not aware of this either, however, if there was a volunteer acquisition of homes, the neighbors that did not sell their homes may feel like they are negatively impacted in terms of property values. Mr. Tezla does not believe that the FAA would pay for property acquisition because the Airport is not within the threshold that they use for that program. Vice President Jones would not like the FAA to become involved.
- 297 The second part of Mr. Tezla's presentation is about land use away from the runways. The main points from the 298 District Master Plan include aviation growth with community growth, continuing with open space policies, support 299 the emergency service needs, provide community and non-profit facilities, and lease land for private 300 development. There are approximately 2600 acres owned or managed by the District. This land is between the 301 Airport, Waddle Ranch, other open space areas and two golf courses. There are currently over 600 acres on the 302 Airport property that are not allocated for any specific type of use. Mr. Tezla's conclusion is that the District has 303 enough property to accomplish all of its aviation and non-aviation goals. The Board should consider the impact 304 that the FAA has, as well as their role. The FAA will essentially be a third party in the land leasing. Federal grants 305 were used to purchase both of the sites that Clear Capital are interested in. There is an FAA release required to 306 develop on the land for non-aviation use, whether the District is leasing or selling the land. NEPA and CEQA will 307 be required, however, it may be minor and the Airport will be responsible for completing those studies. The FAA 308 "airspace review" will be needed before and during the construction. President Hetherington questioned the 309 timing in terms of the process for the FAA. Mr. Tezla stated that if the Board selects site 3, the process can be 310 started now. With site 2, the Master Plan will have to be finished before the process can be started with the FAA. 311 There are several FAA land release requirements, including the effect on aeronautical facilities, and net benefit to 312 civil aviation.
- Site 2 is currently designated for aviation use and hangar development, and the FAA release is contingent on the completion of the current Master Plan and approvals to justify re-designation to non-aviation. The completion of the Master Plan could be in the first quarter of next year, with another 30-90 days on top of that for the FAA release. Site 3 is designated for non-aviation use, and the release process may begin at any time.
 - The current Master Plan goes through 2025, with the aviation needs forecasted to be approximately 20 acres for the 16 new executive hangars, 2 large hangars, 1 joint use hangar, and a transit facility. The large hangars are twice as large as an executive hangar. Mr. Tezla believes that both sites 2 and 3 could work for Clear Capital. In reference to the runway alternatives, if either of the options for Runway 11-29 are enacted, it would have an effect on site 2. If the runway is shifted down, site 2 would become less compatible. The conclusion is that the District has sufficient property to pursue all uses and both sites are feasible. Mr. Tezla recommends site 3 as preferable for non-aviation development for several reasons, including: the larger distance between runway centerline and runway ends, and there are fewer restrictions related to project timing and justifications.
- President Hetherington stated that with site 2, there could be an issue with Clear Capital's timeline and the FAA release. Mr. Tezla stated he could not speak to the timeline of Clear Capital, but stated that there would be nothing to worry about with the FAA release on site 3.

328 **PUBLIC COMMENT:** None

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

329

CLEAR CAPITAL LAND LEASE REQUEST AND COURSE OF ACTION

Mr. Bumen stated that Staff recommends three items: the elimination of site 2 from any further consideration, the direction for the General Manager and Board President to finalize the Letter of Intent (LOI) with JMA Ventures and Clear Capital to work towards a lease on site 3, which is a non-binding LOI, and the third recommendation is

- to direct Staff in the negotiation of the lease agreement with JMA Ventures and Clear Capital for future
- consideration by the Board of Directors no later than the December 5, 2013 Board meeting and also work with the
- FAA for the initial release and review of the proposals. There are also a number of agencies involved if the District
- chooses to move forward with Clear Capital, including the Town of Truckee, Nevada County, and Placer County.
- Mr. Gabe Nacht, CFO of Clear Capital, started his presentation with a review of the four sites proposed by the
- Board. Sites 1 and 4 were removed from the plan, and sites 2 and 3 were further studied by both JMA Ventures
- and Mr. Tezla with Mead & Hunt. After further discussion with Airport Staff about site 3, Clear Capital has
- decided to shift the site further away from the Airport so as to give the District more acreage for future aviation
- use. As previously presented, site 2 will require some significant utility extensions, as well as the requirement of a
- roundabout, and some zoning complexities, as this site lies within the Town of Truckee and is zoned as public
- space, and would need to be re-zoned as office space. If Runway 11-29 was extended, the density requirements
- would not be met by Clear Capital for the number of employees they would have.
- For site 3, the recommended site, Clear Capital has decided to shift the parking acreage across Aviation Way,
- 346 giving the District approximately 12 acres for future development. There will be some re-zoning required, from
- light industrial to office space. In staying consistent with the current Master Plan, FAA release could begin
- immediately for site 3. There will be a roundabout needed for this site as well. Mr. Nacht showed several site
- design studies for both sites 2 and 3, as well as a building design. Originally, Clear Capital looked at site 3 for
- 350 seven acres for a building, and three acres across Aviation Way for parking. In wanting to give the Airport more
- 351 space for future use however, Mr. Nacht stated they shrank the footprint to five acres for a building, and three
- acres for parking across Aviation Way, giving the Airport approximately 14 acres.
- 353 Mr. Nacht indicated that Clear Capital benefits the Truckee community in both payroll and spending adding up to
- more than \$25 million. There would be an increase in property value for the remaining Airport District land. The
- 355 Clear Capital jobs are not seasonal or service work, but career jobs, which is a good balance to the other
- 356 community jobs which are seasonal or service oriented.
- 357 The Letter of Intent (LOI) is non-binding, with the exception relating to expenses in the next phase. For the
- 358 expenses, the District would fund the appraisal and any economic analyses, both to be reimbursed upon final
- 359 lease agreement, and Clear Capital and JMA Ventures fund the environmental, architectural, construction, etc.
- The lease term would be 50 years with two extension options of 20 years each. The District will also have the
- 361 right to approve architectural design, landscaping and improvements to the leased land. Mr. Nacht asked the
- Board for approval to move forward with the project, and move forward towards finalizing the LOI, which will
- 363 hopefully be finalized by the end of August. Clear Capital would like to enter into a land lease with the District no
- later than November 15, 2013. Mr. Nacht would like to occupy the new Clear Capital building by November 1,
- 365 2015. The timing on a final lease agreement would need to be worked on with Staff.
- 366 Mr. Bumen asked if there is concurrence on site 3 versus site 2, and if there was a general agreement that site 3
- offers a more immediate opportunity. The Board agreed. Mr. Smith stated that all of Director Van Berkem's
- 368 questions about the LOI, the lease terms, the rent payment schedule, etc., are bullet points in the LOI that will be
- 369 talked about during this Board meeting.
- 370 In terms of the lease term, Director Van Berkem does not want a 50 year building on the property if there are not
- 371 contingencies in the lease for that. Mr. Smith stated that he is not sure what the answer to that would be, but it is
- 372 something to work on for the LOI. President Hetherington questioned if the lease would be with JMA Ventures.
- Mr. Nacht stated that is essentially correct, but it may change, as ideally, the lease will be with an ownership
- group, which could be JMA or Clear Capital. The ownership would then enter into a lease with the Airport, and

Clear Capital would lease the facility from the ownership group with the option to buy the building. President Hetherington stated that her concern is what happens if and when Clear Capital decides to vacate the building, and the Airport is left with this large unoccupied building onsite. Mr. Smith stated that it could be converted to offices. The process would need to be outlined for that. Vice President Jones questioned if Clear Capital is going to obligate themselves to the 50 year term, as part of the negotiation. Mr. Nacht stated that there will be contractual commitments. Mr. Art Chapman, with JMA Ventures, stated that in the case of an early termination, that termination would include the payment of funds to the Airport to retrofit the building for use that would be acceptable to the Airport. The tenant does not get to walk away, the ownership group will not get stuck with that payment of funds either. There will be a provision in the lease that states that termination payment would be made by the tenant. Director Van Berkem would just like to make sure that the Airport is protected.

As far as the lease commencement, Mr. Smith stated that November 15 is a stretch for the lease with Clear Capital, and would prefer December 5 as that is the Board meeting. Mr. Nacht stated that Clear Capital is not expecting FAA approval by November 15, but they would like to submit documentation to the FAA for approval by that date. Director Van Berkem questioned if November 15 vs. December 5 is an issue for Clear Capital. Mr. Nacht answered yes, weeks matter, but it is not a deal breaker, rather the preference of Clear Capital. Director Morrison stated that the Board will not approve the lease until they are comfortable. Director Van Berkem questioned if there are plans for a specialized real estate attorney. Mr. Smith confirmed this.

Director Van Berkem stated that he has a lot of questions about the AMCG proposal, as well as the review and approval process for the AMCG contract. The methodology for how to do the appraisal is concerning. Director Van Berkem is not in favor of the discounting or comparing to other airports in other states. There were no issues brought up about the rent schedule. In terms of the landlord approval of design and landscaping, Director Van Berkem stated that he is concerned with the parking and traffic issue, and what the parking lot is going to look like, with either a two story garage, or an underground garage. He thinks that there should be approval on parking. Mr. Smith stated that will be discussed later on. Mr. Nacht stated that the Airport will have the right to approve any improvements on the lease, to include parking.

As far as use of adjacent land, the Board does not like the wording "quiet enjoyment of the leased land". Mr. Nacht stated that they are open to other language terms for the LOI. They are looking for the Board to approve the LOI, not the language. Clear Capital would like some reasonable assurance that the adjacent land be consistent with Airport uses or other Clear Capital facilities. Director Morrison stated that the land will be developed as similar use. Mr. Bumen stated that in the lease document, there will be a lot of detail in the language. In the LOI, Staff would like the Board to highlight key elements that will need to be addressed in the lease. Director Van Berkem questioned the approval process of the LOI. Mr. Smith stated that the original intention was to have Board, Staff and public input to finalize the LOI. This could be in the September budget workshop. Mr. Nacht stated that the LOI is still in draft form, and that Clear Capital is hoping to have agreement from the Board to move forward. While the LOI is non-binding, it is important in that it outlines the general terms under which Clear Capital would like to move forward. Director Morrison stated that the Board would like to be updated by all parties involved throughout the entire process to avoid being surprised by any additional costs or contingencies at the last minute. Mr. Nacht stated that it is not in anyone's best interest to surprise the Board. The last thing Clear Capital would like to do is to come to a Board meeting expecting to have the lease finalized and realizing that the Board has not approved the lease, and have it rejected as Clear Capital has made significant investments in this process. Vice President Jones questioned when the FAA process will begin. Mr. Smith stated that the FAA release can be started immediately. Vice President Jones stated that the Board will not sign a lease until the FAA has given their approval. Mr. Smith stated it will be approximately 30-90 days. Mr. Tezla stated that there is no reason to not start the FAA release process now. President Hetherington questioned the FAA release of all of that area, or just what Clear Capital needs. Mr. Smith stated that they would have the FAA release all of that land that is not aviation related for future use of a possible transit facility or a library. President Hetherington questioned the multiple uses of the Clear Capital parking lot, during the night for example, or for the transit facility use. Mr. Nacht stated that the design is very conceptual and would like to be as flexible as possible for both the building and the parking areas. Clear Capital is open to any and all suggestions about how to make the area better for all parties involved.

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428 429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436 437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450 451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

Mr. Smith stated that he had a meeting with the Town of Truckee and Nevada County, and went through the proposal with them. This project is feasible in the county. The Town of Truckee is very supportive of the concept, but has several concerns. Some of the concerns include more traffic being dumped onto the Town and Placer County roads. As part of the LOI, there will be a process agreement for all of the agencies involved. The idea would be to have a process in place before a lease agreement is signed. Director Van Berkem questioned if there was any legal opinion on the prohibition of putting commercial buildings on the land. Mr. Smith stated that in the 1998 Master Plan, there was an agreement with the Town of Truckee that stated the Airport would not put any non-aviation uses over 5000 square feet unless the Town agrees. There is another provision within this agreement that states that when the Master Plan is adopted, that will become the guiding document that will facilitate future development use of the property. Mr. Smith stated that the Town of Truckee still would like this coordination to make sure everyone is on the same page. District Counsel Collinson stated that there is some restrictive language, such as where it states, "The revised Airport Master Plan is recognized as the appropriate planning document to define future uses on the Airport property", Vice President Jones stated that which gives the Airport some sort of the capability to terminate the relationship after 30 days. Mr. Smith stated that the Town is very supportive about moving Clear Capital to an appropriate facility. They are concerned about the traffic impacts because Clear Capital is not in the Town. Director Van Berkem stated that they are also concerned about the financial aspects, because the Town will not receive any of the property tax from Clear Capital. Mr. Nacht stated that it is not Clear Capital's intent to move just outside of the Town of Truckee's line, there were a lot of different options looked at within the Town, however, this option suits Clear Capital's needs best. District Counsel Collinson stated that PUD as well as both of the sewer and fire districts will want to have some involvement and give their opinion.

In terms of the chance of there being a dispute that requires resolution, District Counsel Collinson stated that there will be an impartial person as the mediator to get the parties together. He was concerned earlier that the decision without of a mediator would be an improper delegation of the Board's authority, and the language would be revised to say "subject to Board approval". The Board is not giving away their ability to approve or disapprove whatever resolution the group comes up with. Director Van Berkem questioned the use of the word "final" in this part of the LOI as it is non-binding. District Counsel Collinson stated that in terms of Clear Capital and JMA Ventures, it is final to them. Director Van Berkem stated that he would like the word "pending" in this area.

Director Morrison questioned the financial impacts of the Airport District. Mr. Smith stated that there is also an application process that is being developed for future land development applications. Assuming a lease agreement is signed, the District is reimbursed for all costs incurred to them, essentially the appraisal and the AMCG study. Mr. Bumen stated that the cost of the appraisal and the AMCG study would be approximately \$5,000-\$10,000. Legal costs will also have to be anticipated, with approximately \$20,000-\$30,000 for these costs. President Hetherington stated that it would be useful for Staff to track their time for future reference. Mr. Smith stated that it would be a good idea to appoint a lease negotiation Ad Hoc committee. Director Morrison

suggested Vice President Jones because of his commercial real estate and lease experience and Director Van Berkem because of his experience for the Ad Hoc committee.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

MOTION #4 AUG-22-13: Vice President Jones made a motion to create an Ad Hoc committee with Director Van Berkem to work with JMA Ventures and Clear Capital on the lease agreement. President Hetherington seconded the motion. President Hetherington, Vice President Jones, and Directors Van Berkem and Morrison voted in favor of the motion. The motion passed.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

Vice President Jones stated that the Board is moving forward with the LOI, to be approved on September 16, during the budget workshop. The process with the FAA release will be started immediately, with the concurrence to release site 2. Mr. Chapman added that because this is an unsubordinated ground lease, there are special provisions that are required by banks and lenders on ground lease. He assumes that Staff will want that kind of expertise as well from the legal counsel so it can be built into the document.

Director Morrison stated that the LOI should be finalized by the end of August, with the Board President to sign off on it. President Hetherington stated that she is not comfortable with that. Director Van Berkem stated that because all of the issues were talked through during this meeting, he is also comfortable having the LOI finalized by the end of August. Mr. Nacht stated that the FAA release is important, as well as the economic analysis. Vice President Jones stated that the LOI is the starting point for the real negotiations, being the lease. Director Van Berkem stated that he would like to have the LOI ready for signature by August 31. District Counsel Collinson stated that the Board should consider a motion to authorize the President to sign the LOI after approval by the Ad Hoc committee and Staff. President Hetherington stated that she would like to wait until September 16 due to Director Wallace's absence, and because she believes the Board has accomplished the time sensitive issues, such as the start of the FAA release process and the concurrence to release site 2.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

MOTION #5 AUG-22-13: Director Van Berkem made a motion to authorize the President of the Board to sign a non-binding LOI upon approval of the majority of the Ad Hoc committee which consists of two Board members and the General Manager with advice on counsel by a balance of Staff and District Counsel. Director Morrison seconded the motion. Vice President Jones, and Directors Van Berkem and Morrison voted in favor of the motion. President Hetherington voted against the motion. The motion passed.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

In terms of the AMCG component, Director Morrison has concerns if they are the right people to hire and what needs to be done to review the contract that the District enters into with AMCG. Director Van Berkem stated that he has a fundamental concern about the design of the study. Director Morrison stated that AMCG is basically setting up a huge part of the lease for the District. Mr. Bumen stated that he reached out to AMCG and put a professional services agreement in place to have them ready to go pending the Board's decision at this meeting. He had AMCG prepare an outline of the process they would propose to use in the event that the Board chooses to move forward. They do a lot of work in the aeronautical domain, based on the type of demands they receive from their customers. Non-aeronautical development on the Airport is less common in terms of the volume. In requesting this, the expectations were that they would provide the Board with an understanding of the market as it pertains to the questions the Board had after the Prescott, AZ example of what other airports charge for non-

aeronautical leasing. There is also the local market component of similar proximal properties for commercial development that would be within a defined region of the Airport. Vice President Jones questioned if there is a very specific process that is required by the FAA to determine value. Mr. Smith stated that there are grant assurances that have to be complied with that are not typical of a commercial lease, but he is not sure how specific the process is. Vice President Jones does not want AMCG to have free reins to get involved and take the Board back to where they were four or five months ago and re-do what has already been agreed to. He would like to see it defined as to how the Board is going to use AMCG. Mr. Bumen stated that there can be boundaries on this, and it does not have to be wide open.

Director Van Berkem stated that he rejects the focus of the AMCG study being an Airport non-aeronautical use of the land. He stated that most of the report given by AMCG was on aeronautical use of the land, as opposed to non-aeronautical use. Mr. Bumen stated that the reason why there is a market rent study in this report is because of the specific inquiry by the Board in the June Board meeting, based on the Prescott, AZ example, of having the rates of five other airports studied. Mr. Bumen made that known to AMCG that there was an interest from the Board to see what was out there at other airports. Typically, Airport property is more encumbered with restrictions than non-airport owned property. The discounting would reflect the limitations the developer would have on that property, and would not be as valuable if it was fifty miles from the Airport. President Hetherington questioned what the encumbered restrictions include. Mr. Bumen answered that it would be the density restrictions, height restrictions, Part 77, lighting, etc. Director Van Berkem stated that he does not see any discount happening because of all the places Clear Capital looked at, the Airport property is where they would like to be located. Director Van Berkem would like to understand what this kind of acreage would go for in the immediate area. Vice President Jones stated that there was a discussion of Hangar 1 and the leasing of Hangar 1 to non-aviation lessees. He questioned if there is a process that is required to get a valuation on this lease, or if it is up to the Airport to determine what the appropriate rate is. Director Morrison stated that the Ad Hoc committee should meet with Mr. Smith to decide if AMCG is the right group to hire and what the proper scope of study is for them. Mr. Nacht stated that his understanding is that there is a legal process to go through. He does not want to do anything outside of what is required procedurally because it will slow the process down. He stated that just as much as this lease needs to make economic sense to the Airport, it needs to make sense to Clear Capital as well. They have looked at other areas for land leasing, but there is nothing that is comparable to a long term land lease in the area. District Counsel Collinson stated that he has done a small land lease, not comparable to this land lease, but was not aware of any required procedure for rental amounts. He did some research and found some cases where public agencies had done land leases and they were subject to renegotiation. He consulted with Mr. David Teirman, Executive Vice President of JMA Ventures, who stated that he was not aware of any specific statute or requirement, it is just the normal procedure of how it is done. The lease will be up to negotiations between the parties. Mr. Bumen stated that within the AMCG study, both Santa Maria and Monterey Airports will be part of that market study. President Hetherington stated that while the Board would like to get as much money per square foot as possible, it might be more valuable to have the square footage cost be less if Clear Capital contributes to the non-profits, such as a future library or transit facility. Mr. Smith indicated that the lease negotiation Ad Hoc committee will meet with Staff and AMC and have questions answered and decide what the best option is to do the appraisal.

PUBLIC COMMENT: None

500

501

502

503

504

505

506507

508

509

510511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527528

529

530

531532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

GENERAL MANAGER'S REPORT

Mr. Smith started the report with a recap of the upcoming training opportunities. Mr. Bumen said that he is attempting to schedule a meeting in Washington the week of September 16 regarding the efforts to secure long

43	term approval to operate the FAA provided transponder interrogator. Mr. Smith stated that the District has
544	received the AF/D procedure approval of the Final Determination of Landing Area in late July. Mr. Stoner stated
45	that the security of the Alder Hill tower is arranged. The contract is with Day Wireless. The parts have been
46	ordered and should be here within the month. November 19, 2013 is the date for the Aviation Safety Seminar.
547	Director Morrison questioned if the Board had asked Staff to look into the community hangar this fall. Ms.
48	Dykstra stated that the investigation would be in FY2014. Director Morrison would like to add the community
49	hangar to the project tracking list. He would also like to continue working on the one in, one out Airport issue. He
50	questioned when the new maintenance building will have oxygen service. He does not want it to be forgotten
551	about for the next three years. Vice President Jones questioned how long the process will be for a community
552	hangar. Mr. Smith stated that one idea would be to have the Hangar 2 site be the multi-use hangar. Director
553	Morrison would like this to be part of the Master Plan process. Director Morrison questioned if Staff is in
554	consensus about understanding what the cost of a large community hangar would be. District Counsel Collinson
555	stated that a Hangar 3 will need to be put on as a formal agenda item before formal action is taken on this.
556	President Hetherington stated that she would also like to have night operations be designated as a project and
557	added to the list. District Counsel Collinson stated that this will-should all be put in an agenda.
558	PUBLIC COMMENT: None
559	BOARD MEMBER ANNOUNCEMENTS: Vice President Jones stated that he will be out of the country from
60	September 12-28, and will miss the budget workshop and the next Board meeting.
61	PUBLIC COMMENT: None
62	ADJOURN
63	MOTION #6 AUG-22-13: Director Morrison moved to adjourn. Director Van Berkem seconded the motion.
64	President Hetherington, Vice President Jones, and Directors Morrison, and Van Berkem voted in favor of the
65	motion. The motion passed.

At 4:13 p.m. the August 22, 2013 regular meeting of the Truckee Tahoe Airport Board of Directors adjourned.

566