

**Multi-Use Hangar Project Input  
Comments via Website**

**Comments**

I believe that the TTAD should build this hangar. The middle version for sure.

My choice: #4

Reason: Public funds should only be spent on critical-need airport projects. If there is great need for additional event venues, event organizers would be coming to you with "skin in the game" (aka offers to partners financially).

Thank you for addressing my concern that FlashVote is too invasive.

I vote for option 2 - \$6 million

Hello, A few quick things we hope you will take into consideration going forward:

1) Noise and low flying planes have picked up considerably this summer. Maybe that is part of the economy improving, along with all the infrastructure investments at the airport. Also, we used to deal primarily with incoming flights but now takeoffs seem to be in the mix too. Point being it feels and sounds like we are going back to the mid 2000s period when things were unreasonably loud.

2) We received an email a couple days ago, which included a survey invite of sorts. Preferring to take the survey straight from your official site, we went there today and it is already closed (assuming that is the same survey). If you are going to invite the broader local community to take a survey via email, you should give them at least a week or two to participate. In any case, the survey methodology feels skewed to the pilot/pro airport growth community (who and how you invite, three expand versus two non expand questions, etc.).

3) We appreciate some of your additional visibility efforts around town, including donating to sporting and other programs. However, if much of that is a PR campaign to garner positive reaction to the airport in a trade off for more expansion, more traffic and more noise it really isn't community minded.

Thank you, Long Time Local Family